### COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

#### BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

\* \* \* \* \*

In the Matter of:

THE APPLICATION OF SHELBY
RURAL ELECTRIC COOPERATIVE CORPORATION)
FOR AN ORDER AUTHORIZING A PASSTHROUGH OF EAST KENTUCKY POWER WHOLESALE POWER RATE INCREASE IN
CASE NO. 8400

### ORDER

Shelby Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation ("Applicant") filed its application to flow through any increase in rates granted its wholesale power supplier, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., ("East Kentucky") in Case No. 8400. Applicant is one of 18 member distribution cooperatives of East Kentucky. In Case No. 8400, East Kentucky requested an increase in revenue of approximately \$24,110,886. Of this total increase, Applicant would experience an increase in power costs of approximately \$711,738, which represents 2.95 percent of the proposed East Kentucky increase and would result in an overall increase to Applicant's consumers of 18.2 percent.

On December 18, 1981, the 18 distribution cooperatives of East Kentucky filed a motion requesting that they be permitted to deviate from the Commission's filing requirements and to flow through the increase by use of a purchased power adjustment clause promulgated by the Commission. In its order of February 3, 1982, the Commission authorized Applicant to deviate from the

filing requirements and to file certain information deemed essential to the Commission's consideration of the request to flow through any wholesale power increase received from East Kentucky.

Hearings were held on April 27 and 28, 1982, at the Commission's offices in Frankfort, Kentucky. The Consumer Protection Division of the Attorney General's Office, Charles F. Cook of Clark County, Kentucky, and Sarah A. Bowers, Mt. Hermon, Kentucky, were the intervenors of record.

It is the opinion of the Commission that the most equitable method to use in processing the increased power cost for rate-making purposes in this case is the energy adder per metered KWH sales. All of the Applicants except Clark Rural Electric Cooperative and Grayson Rural Electric Cooperative used this method. These two applications have been adjusted to this method.

The Commission, having considered the evidence of record and being advised, is of the opinion and finds that:

- (1) The actual increase allowed East Kentucky in Case No. 8400 is \$14,951,106 which will result in an increase in power costs to Applicant of \$442,068.
- (2) Applicant's financial condition would be materially impaired if it were not allowed to recover the increased wholesale power costs allowed in Case No. 8400.
- (3) The revised rates and charges in Appendix A are designed to reflect only the increased power costs from East Kentucky allowed in the final order in Case No. 8400.

- (4) The flow-through of the wholesale power costs will not result in any additional net margin to Applicant.
- (5) The most equitable method to use in processing the increased power costs for rate-making purposes is the energy adder charge, which should be used to determine the final rates in this case.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Shelby Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation is hereby authorized to flow through the increased power costs resulting from the rate increase granted its wholesale power supplier, East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc., in Case No. 8400.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the rates in Appendix A shall be placed into effect on the effective date of the East Kentucky wholesale power increase.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Shelby Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation shall, within 20 days from the date of this order, file its revised tariff sheets setting out the rates and charges approved herein.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 3rd day of June, 1982.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Chairman

Katherine Bandale

Vice Chairman

Lin Carryer

Commissioner

ATTEST:

### APPENDIX

APPENDIX TO AN ORDER OF THE KENTUCKY PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION IN CASE NO. 8415-P DATED JUNE 3, 1982

The following rates and charges are prescribed for the customers in the area served by Shelby Rural Electric Cooperative Corporation. All other rates and charges not specifically mentioned herein shall remain the same as those in effect under authority of this Commission prior to the date of this Order.

### RATE 1 GENERAL SERVICE\*

### Rates:

Consumer Facility C	harge	\$6.72	Per	Month
		6.797¢ 5.407¢ 4.827¢ 5.507¢	Per Per	KWH KWH

## RATE 2 GENERAL SERVICE DEMAND\*

### Rates:

### Demand Charge

First 20 KW of Billing Demand Per Month, No Demand Charge Excess above 20 KW of Billing Demand Per Month @ \$3.14 Per KW

### Energy Charge

Consumer	Facility Charge	\$6.72	rer Month
First Next Next All Over	400 KWH Per Month 600 KWH Per Month 2,000 KWH Per Month 3,000 KWH Per Month	6.797¢ 5.407¢ 4.827¢ 5.507¢	Per KWN Per KWH

# RATE 3 LARGE POWER SERVICE

### Rates:

### Demand Charge

\$3.14 Per Month Per KW of Billing Demand

### Energy Charge

First	100 KWH Per KW Demand	4.838¢ Per KWH
Next	100 KWH Per KW Demand	4.318¢ Per KWH
All Over	200 KWH Per KW Demand	3.798¢ Per KWH

### RATE 4 OUTDOOR AND STREET LIGHTING SERVICE\*

### Rates:

Type of Fixture	Lamp Size	Monthly Charges
Mercury Vapor	Up to 200 Watts	\$5.74
Mercury Vapor	200 to 400 Watts	\$8.07

# RATE 5 STANDBY POWER RATE\*

#### Rates:

### Demand Charge

The identical demand rate billed by East Kentucky Power Corporation from the wholesale power invoice for that particular month.

### Energy Charge

First	100 KWH Per KW Dema	nd 4.838¢ Per KWH
Next	100 KWH Per KW Dema	nd 4.318¢ Per KWH
All Over	200 KWH Per KW Dema	nd 3.798¢ Per KWH

\*Fuel Adjustment Clause:

This rate may be increased or decreased by an amount per KWH equal to the fuel adjustment amount per KWH as billed by the Wholesale Power Supplier plus an allowance for line losses. The allowance for line losses will not exceed 10% and is based on a twelve-month moving average of such losses. This Fuel Clause is subject to all other applicable provisions as set out in 807 KAR 5:056.